
 Poster

[P27-10] P27-10: Pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics

Chair: Andrew Somogyi, Australia

 Wed. Sep 27, 2017 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM Annex Hall (1F)

(Wed. Sep 27, 2017 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM Annex Hall)

[P27-10-10] Education in clinical pharmacokinetics: clearance and elimination rate constant parameterizations are equally valid

Roger Woodham Jelliffe¹, Michael Noel Neely², David Bayard³ (1.USC Keck School of Medicine, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, 2.USC Keck School of Medicine, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, 3.Consultant, Laboratory of Applied Pharmacokinetics and Bioinformatics, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles)

Keywords: Clearance, Elimination rate constant, Pharmacokinetics, Education in Pharmacokinetics

Background

Unending controversy has existed about parameterizing a pharmacokinetic (PK) model.—Is volume (V) and clearance (Cl) better, or is V and Ke, the rate constant of elimination? Basic PK parameterizations can be V and Cl, V and Ke, or Ke and Cl. Pharmacokinetic education has deduced what happens after a change in volume, etc.. These are treated as important theoretical questions. However, the controversy persists, because among other things there has been no significant effort, and no tools until recently [1], to obtain data from actual unstable patients to obtain definitive answers. Everything has been speculation or deduction, but little based on actual data.

Methods

The Principle of Invariance

(adapted from [2]): If q is a maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of a parameterization of interest q which has p parameters, and $g(q)$ is a function that maps q to a new parameterization that has n parameters, where $n < p$, then $g(q)$ is an MLE of $g(q)$. It states that a maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of a function of a parameter is equal to that function of the original MLE of the parameter itself. If one has a certain data set and estimates a function g of a parameter —or $g()$ — the answer is equal to the function g of the original MLE of itself.

Results

Suppose Bill likes V and Ke, but Alice likes V and Cl. When both analyze the same data set, using MLE, Bill gets estimates V_{Bill} and Ke_{Bill} , while Alice gets estimates V_{Alice} and Cl_{Alice} . The fact is that both Alice and Bill get identical parameter estimates: $V_{\text{Alice}} = V_{\text{Bill}}$, and $Cl_{\text{Alice}} = V_{\text{Bill}} \text{ times } Ke_{\text{Bill}}$.

Conclusions

All three parameterizations —V and Cl, V and Ke, and Ke and Cl, are equally valid. No parameterization is better than any other. This result resolves the controversy concerning the parameterizations.

References:

1. Bayard D, and Jelliffe R: A Bayesian Approach to Tracking Patients having Changing Pharmacokinetic Parameters. J. Pharmacokin. Pharmacodyn. 31 (1): 75-107, 2004.
2. Goodwin G and Payne R: Dynamic System Identification: Experiment Design and Data Analysis. Academic Press, New York, 1977, p 50..

